

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended in conducting the Interview of July 28th. Applicants have prepared this Amendment fully consistent with the discussion of the Interview.

As discussed, in this Amendment, Applicants have more-particularly claimed Applicants' invention. Applicants have amended independent claims 8, 27 and 35 to more-particularly claim a first and second record. As now more-particularly claimed, a first record that is previously stored in all of the plurality of databases is displayed on the user interface using a first graphical representation for the first record. A second record that is previously stored in less than all of the plurality of databases is displayed on the user interface using a second graphical representation for the second record. The first graphical representation is different from the second graphical representation. Applicants respectfully submit that Langer provides no disclosure related to this feature of Applicants' invention, and as such, Applicants respectfully submit that amended independent claims 8, 27 and 35 are allowable over Langer.

As now claimed and clearly disclosed in Applicants' specification at least at para. 0039 in Applicants' specification, an interface can communicate with databases 22 and 24, respectively, and data from can be pulled from the databases into memory and managed, using the user interface. As further discussed in the Interview, Applicants respectfully submit that Figures 1 and 5 of Applicants' specification also adequately disclose this claimed feature.

As discussed in the Interview, Langer, even if it discloses a user interface displaying a visual representation of a plurality of databases, provides no disclosure for displaying a visual representation of records previously stored in the plurality of databases. Further, Applicants respectfully submit that Langer cannot disclose a user interface displaying records previously stored in less than all of a plurality of databases and records previously stored in all of the plurality of databases. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Langer provides no disclosure for a displaying a first record that is previously stored in all of the

plurality of databases on the user interface using a first graphical representation and displaying a second record that is previously stored in less than all of the plurality of databases on the user interface using a second graphical representation. Thus, as discussed in the Interview, Applicants respectfully submit that amended independent claims 8, 27 and 35 are allowable over Langer.

Applicants respectfully submit that none of the cited references in the Office Action disclose these features of Applicants' invention. Claims 10-11, 13-17, 19-20, and 36-47 are allowable by virtue of dependence on an allowable base claim.

As discussed, in this Amendment, Applicants have amended independent claims 8 and 35 to correct for proper indentation. Similarly, independent claim 8 and 27 have been amended to correct for an extraneous "and" as indicated by the Office Action. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner's claim objections be withdrawn.

Applicants have amended independent claims 8, 27 and 35 to more-particularly claim a second record in less than all of the plurality of databases. No new matter has been added. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner's rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections have been overcome. Applicants thank the Examiner for withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection.

Applicants respectfully submit that the application is now in condition for allowance with claims 8, 10-11, 13-17, 19-20, 27, and 35-47 being allowable. If there are any questions regarding this Amendment or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

As provided for above, this paper should be considered as a Petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response. Please charge any deficiency in fees, or credit any overpayment of fees, to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket No. 100650.53067US).

Respectfully submitted,

CROWELL & MORING LLP

Dated: Aug 6, 2008

By   
John P. Teresinski  
Reg. No. 59,621

Robert L. Grabarek, Jr.  
Reg. No. 40,625  
Tel.: (949) 263-8400 (Pacific Coast)

Intellectual Property Group  
P.O. Box 14300  
Washington, D.C. 20044-4300  
IR4155933.1